Questionnaire

In accordance with article 45bis of the Standing Orders of the International Labour Conference, governments are invited to consult the most representative organizations of employers and workers before finalizing their replies to this questionnaire. The International Labour Office would be grateful if the replies could reach the Office by 30 November 2016. Respondents are encouraged, where possible, to complete the questionnaire in electronic format and to submit their replies electronically to the following email address: jur@ilo.org.

I. Night Work (Women) Convention, 1919 (No. 4)

	Do you consider that Convention No. 4 should be abrogated?
	∑ Yes □ No
2.	If you replied "no" to question 1, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 4 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.
	Double-click to type comments
	nimum Age (Trimmers and Stokers) nvention, 1921 (No. 15)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cor	nvention, 1921 (No. 15)
Cor	Do you consider that Convention No. 15 should be abrogated?

III.	Protection against Accidents (Dockers) Convention, 1929 (No. 28)		
	1.	Do you consider that Convention No. 28 should be withdrawn?	
	2.	If you replied "no" to question 1, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 28 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.	
		Double-click to type comments	
IV.	Night Work (Women) Convention (Revised), 1934 (No. 41)		
	1.	Do you consider that Convention No. 41 should be abrogated?	
	2.	If you replied "no" to question 1, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 41 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.	
		Double-click to type comments	
V.	Minimum Age (Non-Industrial Employment) Convention (Revised), 1937 (No. 60)		
	1.	Do you consider that Convention No. 60 should be withdrawn?	
	2.	If you replied "no" to question 1, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 60 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution to attaining the objectives of the Organization.	
		Double-click to type comments	
VI.		urs of Work and Rest Periods (Road Insport) Convention, 1939 (No. 67)	
	1.	Do you consider that Convention No. 67 should be abrogated?	

If you replied "no" to question 1, please indicate the reasons why you consider that Convention No. 67 has not lost its purpose or still makes a useful contribution

to attaining the objectives of the Organization.

Double-click to type comments

2