

Dear Madam and Sir,

please find attached the report „Lifetime Extension Of Ageing Nuclear Power Plants” in English which I mentioned in my submission yesterday. The link (<https://www.greenpeace.fr/espace-presse/greenpeace-confronts-europes-ageing-nuclear-reactors/>) I used yesterday leads to a short German version.

Please be so kind and inform me whether my submission will be taken into account. Thank you.

Kind regards,



---

Dear Madam and Sir,

Only by luck I was informed by an NGO that there is an EIA Lifetime Extension for NPP Loviisa. Germany doesn't inform it's public actively. We find the information on the relevant ministry's website by luck. Or we do not.

I'm late because of the Coronavirus Pandemic. Unfortunately it was't possible for me to answer in time at the 2nd November 2020. Under these difficult circumstances this year I do hope you will accept my submission at the 5th of November 2020. Please be so kind and confirm receipt.

---

I'm against an lifetime extension of the NPP Loviisa. But anyway, thanks for doing an EIA Lifetime Extension (scoping phase).

An old NPP is a constant risk. Please be so kind to read this study carefully and take it into account in the EIA Report.

<https://www.greenpeace.fr/espace-presse/greenpeace-confronts-europes-ageing-nuclear-reactors/>

Loviisa's both reactors with 43 and 40 years should get no lifetime extension.

An accident can also contaminate my house and garden in the south of Germany where I'm living. Please see

<http://flexrisk.boku.ac.at/en/sites/lovi/index.html>

and please be so kind to take the outcomes of the Loviisa flexrisk project carefully into account in the EIA Report.

Please take the results of the copper canister research in Sweden into account in the EIA Report.

The EIA Report should also take the zero option (no lifetime extension) into account.

Kind regards,

[Redacted signature]

Germany

Von meinem Samsung Galaxy Smartphone gesendet.